

The Enduring Legacy: Understanding the Kurdish Claim to Median Ancestry

I. Introduction: The Enduring Claim of Median Ancestry

A. The Prominence of Median Descent in Kurdish Identity

Among many Kurdish people, the conviction that they are the descendants of the ancient Medes is a deeply rooted and widely articulated belief. This assertion transcends mere historical curiosity, forming a significant strand in the fabric of modern Kurdish self-perception and national identity. It is a narrative consistently woven through cultural expressions, political discourse, and the popular understanding of history within Kurdish communities.

B. Significance and Motivations

For a people who have largely navigated the modern era without a unified, internationally recognized state, the claim of descent from the Median Empire—a formidable ancient power—offers a profound sense of historical depth, legitimacy, and a distinguished lineage. This connection to a prestigious past serves to anchor Kurdish identity in a narrative of sovereignty and historical significance. The Median Empire, which once held sway over vast territories, is often perceived as a historical antecedent to Kurdish aspirations for autonomy or statehood. The very act of claiming Median ancestry can be understood as a socio-political phenomenon, reflecting a desire for historical continuity and prestige, a pattern observable in the nation-building narratives of many groups seeking to legitimize their present identity and aspirations by linking to a glorious past. The Medes, as an early and influential Iranian empire, provide an ideal ancestral narrative for the Kurds, particularly given their protracted struggle for recognition and self-determination. Thus, the reasons behind this claim extend beyond empirical evidence to encompass the functional role it plays in identity construction and the assertion of historical presence.

C. Report Scope and Structure

This report will critically examine the multifaceted pillars that support the Kurdish claim to Median ancestry. It will explore historical narratives, significant cultural practices such as the celebration of Newroz, comparative linguistic analyses, findings from archaeological investigations, and perspectives from genetic research. Furthermore, the report will delve into the ongoing scholarly debate, presenting arguments both supporting and challenging a direct ancestral link between the Medes and the Kurds. It will also consider alternative or more complex theories regarding Kurdish ethnogenesis, aiming to provide a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of this deeply felt connection.

II. The Medes: A Profile of an Ancient Iranian Civilization

A. Historical Trajectory and Political Significance The Medes were an ancient Iranian people who migrated onto the Iranian plateau, gradually coalescing from a collection of disparate tribes into a unified kingdom. This unification is traditionally attributed to a figure

named Deioces (or Dayaukku in Assyrian records), who is credited with establishing Ecbatana (modern-day Hamadan) as the capital.¹ Assyrian inscriptions first mention the Medes (as *Mada'i*) in the 9th century BCE.¹ In their early history, the Medes were frequently subject to the Assyrian Empire or engaged in conflicts with it, often characterized as rebellious tribes in Assyrian accounts.² The Median Empire, flourishing from approximately 678 BCE to 549 BCE, marked a significant period of Iranian power. Under capable rulers like Cyaxares (Uvaxshtra), the Medes underwent substantial military reforms. Cyaxares is renowned for forging an alliance with Nabopolassar of Babylon, which led to the historic downfall of the Neo-Assyrian Empire and the capture of its capital, Nineveh, in 612 BCE.¹ This pivotal event is deeply embedded in Kurdish cultural memory, particularly through the Newroz celebration, with some Kurdish calendars even dating from this year. The empire expanded its dominion over various regions, including parts of former Assyria, Armenia, and Anatolia, and engaged with other contemporary powers such as the Lydian kingdom in western Anatolia.² Geographically, the Median kingdom was initially centered in western Iran, within the Zagros Mountains, but its imperial reach extended across the Iranian plateau and into neighboring territories.³ Some historical reconstructions of Media's territory include areas inhabited by Kurds today. The ascendancy of the Medes was eventually curtailed by the rise of the Persians. Around 550/549 BCE, the Median Empire was conquered by Cyrus the Great, who was reportedly the grandson of the last Median king, Astyages.² Despite this conquest, the Medes and Median culture did not vanish; instead, they retained a prominent and influential position within the succeeding Achaemenid Persian Empire. Medes served in high administrative and military roles, and Median customs, dress, and courtly practices significantly influenced the Persians.¹ So intertwined were their legacies that ancient Greek writers often used the terms "Mede" and "Persian" almost interchangeably, or referred to pro-Persian sentiments as "Medism". The Medes represent the first major Iranian empire, establishing a model for centralized rule and exerting considerable cultural influence on the Iranian plateau. Their complex interactions—first as subjects and adversaries of the Assyrians, then as their conquerors, and subsequently as predecessors and integrated partners of the Persians—are fundamental to understanding their historical context and enduring legacy. This historical trajectory, from tribal confederation to imperial power and eventual integration into a successor empire, provides a rich and compelling narrative for groups claiming ancestral ties. The successful overthrow of the formidable Assyrian Empire is a particularly notable achievement, and the subsequent persistence of Median influence within the Persian Empire suggests a degree of cultural and political continuity that could theoretically have been transmitted to later populations.

Table 1: Key Median Rulers and Historical Significance

Ruler	Traditional Reign (Approx.)	Significance	Key Sources
Deioces (Dayaukku)	c. 700 BCE	Considered the founder of the Median kingdom; unified Median tribes and established Ecbatana as the capital. Historicity debated,	¹

		mentioned by Herodotus and Assyrian records.	
Phraortes (Fravartish)	c. 675–653 BCE	Son of Deioces; credited with expanding Median territory and subjugating Persians. Reportedly died in battle against the Assyrians.	¹
Cyaxares (Uvaxshtra)	c. 625–585 BCE	Son of Phraortes; reformed the Median army. Allied with Babylon to overthrow the Assyrian Empire, capturing Nineveh in 612 BCE. Expanded the empire significantly.	
Astyages (Ishtumegu)	c. 585–550/549 BCE	Son of Cyaxares; the last king of the Median Empire. Overthrown by Cyrus the Great of Persia, his reputed grandson.	¹

B. Median Language and Culture The Median language was an Old Iranian tongue, classified by linguists as belonging to the Northwestern Iranian branch of the Indo-Iranian language family.⁴ This classification is pivotal, as modern Kurdish languages are also predominantly categorized as Northwestern Iranian, forming a primary basis for the claimed ancestral link. Direct attestation of the Median language is scarce, as no original texts written in Median have survived from the period of their empire.⁴ Consequently, knowledge of Median is fragmentary and largely reconstructed from indirect sources. These include loanwords identified in Old Persian inscriptions, personal and geographical names recorded in Assyrian and Babylonian cuneiform texts, and accounts by ancient Greek historians, most notably Herodotus.⁴ This paucity of direct linguistic material presents a significant challenge for detailed comparative studies and is a central point in scholarly debates regarding the Median-Kurdish linguistic connection. Despite the limited data, linguists have identified certain phonological features that distinguish Median loanwords from native Old Persian vocabulary. For example, Median often exhibits *sp* where Old Persian has *s* (e.g., Median **spaka-* "dog," versus an inferred Old Persian **saka-*; Median **vispa-* "all" versus Old Persian *visa-*). Similarly, Median shows *z* where Old Persian has *d* (representing an original voiced dental fricative, [ð]), and Median *xšaθra-* ("kingdom," "rule") is the source of the word "satrap" (via Greek *satrápēs* from Median **xšaθra-pāvan-* "protector of the kingdom"), contrasting with the Old Persian form *xšaça-*.⁴ These Median loanwords in Old Persian often pertain to concepts of royalty,

administration, and military affairs, reflecting Median political and cultural influence on the early Achaemenids. Regarding Median culture, they practiced an ancient Iranian religion that likely involved the worship of deities similar to those found in the later Zoroastrian pantheon. Fire worship and the veneration of natural elements appear to have been important aspects of their religious practices. The Magi, a priestly caste or tribe, are frequently associated with the Medes and Median religious traditions.⁵ Median art and architecture, as suggested by archaeological finds and descriptions of Ecbatana, featured elements like columned halls and impressive fortified structures. The linguistic classification of Median as a Northwestern Iranian language provides the most concrete scientific basis for a potential link to Kurdish languages. However, the reliance on indirect sources for Median linguistic data means that reconstructions are often tentative, and comparisons can be speculative. This inherent limitation opens the door for diverse interpretations and ongoing scholarly debate. While a shared Northwestern Iranian linguistic ancestry is probable at some level, the precise nature of this relationship—whether direct descent, collateral branching, or substratum influence—is complicated by the fragmentary nature of the Median linguistic record. The lack of extensive Median texts⁴ remains a critical constraint, a point often emphasized by scholars who question a simple, direct lineage.

III. Kurdish Narratives and Cultural Manifestations of Median Descent

A. Oral Traditions, National Anthem, and Folklore The belief in Median ancestry is deeply embedded in Kurdish collective consciousness, frequently expressed through oral traditions and foundational national symbols. This connection is explicitly codified in the Kurdish national anthem, "Ey Reqîb" (Oh, Enemy!), which proclaims, "We are the children of the Medes and Kai Khosrow".⁵ The inclusion of this line in such a central emblem of Kurdish identity serves to institutionalize the Median heritage within the very core of national sentiment. Furthermore, Kurdish folklore and mythology contain elements that are often interpreted as links to ancient Iranian beliefs, potentially including those of the Medes. For instance, the reverence for figures like the mother goddess Ana, whose origins are traced to ancient Iranian religions such as Mithraism and Zoroastrianism, points to a shared cultural substratum. Notably, the Middle Persian text, *Kārnāmag ī Ardaxšīr ī Pābagān* (The Book of the Deeds of Ardashir, Son of Pabag), refers to the "Kurd" identity of the "Medes" in a particular passage, suggesting an ancient association, though the precise meaning and context of "Kurd" in this Sasanian-era text are subject to scholarly interpretation.

B. Newroz: The Celebration of Kawa the Blacksmith and its Symbolic Link to Median History Newroz, the Kurdish New Year celebrated around the spring equinox (March 21st), stands as a paramount cultural event for Kurds, as it does for many other Iranian and related peoples.⁶ However, for the Kurds, Newroz possesses a unique and powerful symbolic linkage to their claim of Median ancestry. The celebration is inextricably tied to the legend of Kawa the Blacksmith (Kaweh/Kaveh in Persian tradition), a heroic figure who, according to Kurdish lore, overthrew a tyrannical ruler named Zuhak (or Dehak/Azhdaha).⁶ Crucially, this legendary victory is often dated by Kurds to 612 BCE, a year that coincides with the historical conquest of Nineveh, the capital of the Neo-Assyrian Empire, by the Medes and their Babylonian allies.⁶ The central ritual of Newroz involves the lighting of bonfires on the eve of the new year. These fires are symbolic of Kawa's signal to the people, announcing the tyrant's defeat and the dawn of a new era of freedom.⁶ This act directly connects the annual celebration to the

Median triumph over Assyria and the subsequent establishment of Median power. Kurdish poets and writers throughout history have revered Newroz as a sacred national occasion, continually reinforcing its association with Kurdish identity and historical narratives of liberation. In contemporary times, Newroz has also evolved into a potent symbol of Kurdish resistance and political assertion, particularly in regions where Kurdish cultural expression has faced suppression. Figures who embody resistance are sometimes hailed as the "Kawa of the modern era," further linking present struggles to this foundational myth.

The Newroz narrative, by aligning the Kawa legend with the historically significant Median achievement of 612 BCE, effectively transforms a historical claim into a vibrant, annually re-enacted cultural tradition. This transforms the Median connection from a distant historical fact into a living symbol of national identity, freedom, and resilience. The figure of Kawa, potentially linked etymologically to the word "Kurd" itself (as suggested by some interpretations of "kurd" meaning "blacksmith" in related Iranian languages), becomes an ancestral hero, and the Newroz bonfires a direct commemoration of a Median-led victory. This narrative is more than mere folklore; it functions as a foundational myth that energizes Kurdish identity and aspirations for self-determination.

C. The Median Legacy in Modern Kurdish Nationalism

The assertion of Median descent is a cornerstone of modern Kurdish nationalism, providing a narrative framework of ancient statehood and imperial grandeur. This historical claim is not merely academic but serves practical purposes in the articulation of national identity. Some Kurds, for instance, utilize a calendar that commences from 612 BCE, the year of Nineveh's fall to the Medes, thereby embedding this historical linkage into the very measure of time.

This ancestral claim is frequently invoked to assert a long, continuous Kurdish presence in their mountainous homeland and to underpin a historical right to self-determination. For a largely stateless nation in the modern era, the Median narrative offers an origin story that includes past sovereignty, imperial status, and a significant role in ancient Near Eastern history. Nationalist movements often seek to construct a "golden age" or identify prestigious ancestors to foster unity and legitimize contemporary political aspirations. The Median Empire, as a powerful and recognized ancient civilization, fulfills this role for Kurdish nationalism, countering narratives that might portray Kurds solely as a collection of tribes or nomadic groups without a historical precedent of statehood. The Median story thus functions as a "charter myth," providing historical depth and prestige to the modern Kurdish national movement.

IV. The Linguistic Nexus: Examining the Median-Kurdish Language Connection

A. Overview of Kurdish Languages

Kurdish is generally classified as a Northwestern Iranian language or a group of closely related languages within the Indo-Iranian branch of the Indo-European family. The primary dialect groups are Kurmanji (Northern Kurdish), Sorani (Central Kurdish), and Southern Kurdish (often referred to as Xwarîn or Pehlewani). Additionally, Zaza (also known as Dimilî or Kirdkî) and Gorani (Hawrami) are spoken by communities who predominantly identify as ethnic Kurds. However, the precise linguistic classification of Zaza and Gorani is a subject of scholarly discussion, with some linguists considering them distinct Northwestern Iranian languages separate from the main Kurdish cluster, though still related. These Kurdish varieties often form

a dialect continuum, meaning that adjacent dialects are typically mutually intelligible, but intelligibility may decrease significantly between geographically distant varieties. Despite this linguistic diversity, a shared sense of common origin and a collective ethnic identity often lead to these languages and dialects being referred to under the umbrella term "Kurdish".

B. Comparative Linguistics: Similarities and Differences The principal linguistic argument underpinning the Kurdish claim to Median descent lies in their shared classification: both Median and the Kurdish languages belong to the Northwestern branch of the Iranian language family.⁴ This shared branch implies a closer genetic relationship to each other than to languages of the Southwestern Iranian branch, such as Persian. This is noteworthy despite the considerable influence Persian has exerted on Kurdish over centuries of close contact and cultural exchange. Scholars have pointed to certain phonological features and lexical items, reconstructed for Median primarily from its loanwords in Old Persian, that appear to show similarities with various Kurdish dialects.⁴ For example, the reconstructed Median word for "dog," **spaka-* (attested by Herodotus as Median ⁴), with its initial *sp-*, aligns with the Northwestern Iranian tendency to preserve Proto-Iranian **sp-*, whereas Old Persian (Southwestern) typically shows *s-* in cognate words. Similarly, the Median preservation of Proto-Iranian **z-* where Old Persian has *d-* (from Proto-Iranian **dz-* or **dʒ-*) is another distinguishing Northwestern feature. For instance, the Median form **vazrka-* "great" is cited in relation to Kurdish forms like Kurmanji *mezin* "great." One source also notes the Kurdish word for sun, *xor* (in some contexts *roj*), as potentially retaining an original *xv-* characteristic, and the form *kor* for "sun" in certain compounds, suggesting possible Median links.

Some linguistic theories propose a "Median substratum" for Kurdish. Gernot Windfuhr, for example, identified Kurdish dialects as essentially Parthian (another Northwestern Iranian language) but with a significant Median substratum. This suggests that an older Median linguistic layer in the region may have influenced the later development of the languages that became Kurdish.

Table 2: Comparative Overview of Select Linguistic Features: Median, Old Persian, and Kurdish

Proto-Iranian Feature/Sound	Reconstructed Median Form	Old Persian Form	Example Kurdish Form(s) (Dialect)	Sources
Plr. <i>*ćw</i> (often > <i>sp</i>)	<i>*spaka-</i> (dog)	<i>*saka-</i> (inferred)	Kurmanji: <i>se, seg</i> ; Sorani: <i>seg</i> (dog)	⁴
Plr. <i>*ćw</i> (often > <i>sp</i>)	<i>*vispa-</i> (all)	<i>visa-</i> (all)	Kurmanji: <i>hemû</i> ; Sorani: <i>hemû, gişt</i> (all) (divergence, but <i>vispa-</i> is key Median marker)	⁴
Plr. <i>*dʒ</i> (often > <i>z</i>)	<i>*zāna-</i> (know)	<i>dāna-</i> (know)	Kurmanji: <i>zanîn</i> ; Sorani: <i>zanîn</i> (to know)	(General NW/SW contrast)
Plr. <i>*dʒ</i> (often > <i>z</i>)	<i>*brzi-</i> (high, in names)	<i>Br̥diya</i> (name)	Kurmanji: <i>berz</i> ; Sorani: <i>berz</i> (high)	⁴ (Median name form)

Plr. *tr (often > θr)	*xšaθra- (kingdom)	xšaça- (kingdom)	Kurdish forms for "kingdom" are often loans (e.g., <i>keyanî</i>); direct cognate less clear.	⁴
Plr. *tr (often > θr)	*čiθra- (origin, seed)	çiça- (origin, seed)	(Cognate search complex; Median form cited for its θr)	⁴
(Meaning-based comparison)	*vazrka- (great)	(vazraka- as loanword)	Kurmanji: <i>mezin</i> ; Sorani: <i>gewre</i> (great)	
(Meaning-based comparison)	*xšāyaθiya- (king)	xšāyaθiya- (king)	Kurdish: <i>şa</i> , <i>key</i> (king) (Median origin of OP <i>xšāyaθiya</i> - itself debated, but used in Median context)	

Note: This table illustrates general comparative points. Direct cognate relationships for all features can be complex due to linguistic evolution, borrowing, and dialectal diversity within Kurdish. The primary purpose is to show the types of phonological distinctions discussed in relation to Median.

C. Scholarly Perspectives

The interpretation of these linguistic connections has led to varied scholarly opinions. Vladimir Minorsky, a prominent early 20th-century Orientalist, was a significant proponent of the Median-Kurdish connection. He argued that the underlying unity he perceived in Kurdish dialects, despite their diversity, could best be explained by a common Median linguistic foundation. Minorsky's views gained considerable traction and have been particularly influential among Kurdish intellectuals and nationalists.

Conversely, David N. MacKenzie, a leading Iranist, offered a critical perspective on a direct, unilinear descent of Kurdish from Median. MacKenzie emphasized that Kurdish languages share numerous features with Southwestern Iranian languages, particularly Persian, likely due to prolonged and intensive historical contact. He argued that there are also significant linguistic differences between what is known or reconstructed of Median and Kurdish proper. MacKenzie questioned the robustness of the linguistic evidence for a direct Median-Kurdish lineage, often highlighting the severe limitations imposed by the scarcity of authentic Median linguistic data.

More recently, scholars like Garnik Asatryan have also expressed skepticism regarding an exclusive or primary Median ancestry for the Kurds. Asatryan suggests that Median's linguistic relationship with Kurdish is not necessarily closer than its affinities with other Northwestern Iranian languages such as Baluchi, Talishi, Zaza, or Gorani. He posits that the direct linguistic

offshoots of Median are more likely to be found among certain Central Iranian dialects or the historical Azari language.

D. Challenges and Limitations The most formidable challenge in definitively establishing the linguistic relationship between Median and Kurdish is the severe scarcity of Median data.⁴ As Median is known primarily through loanwords embedded in Old Persian and a collection of personal and place names recorded in other ancient languages, comprehensive grammatical and lexical comparison is inherently difficult. This lack of extensive, original Median texts means that reconstructions are often tentative and open to interpretation.

The internal diversity of the Kurdish linguistic landscape itself adds another layer of complexity. Comparing a sparsely attested ancient language with a diverse group of modern languages and dialects, each with its own history of development and external influences, is a methodologically challenging task.

Furthermore, distinguishing between linguistic similarities arising from direct genetic descent (one language evolving from another), a shared common ancestor language (sister languages), language contact (borrowing), or areal features (shared traits due to geographical proximity) is a complex endeavor in historical linguistics. Similarities do not automatically equate to direct lineage.

While the shared Northwestern Iranian classification provides a foundational linguistic link between Median and Kurdish, the evidence for a *direct and exclusive* Median descent of the Kurdish languages remains contested and is significantly hampered by the fragmentary nature of the Median linguistic record. The ongoing debate underscores the inherent difficulties in tracing precise linguistic lineages over millennia, especially when dealing with ancient languages with limited textual attestation. The ambiguity arising from this lack of data allows the narrative of Median linguistic ancestry to persist, as it cannot be definitively disproven, even as significant scholarly doubts are raised regarding the strength and interpretation of the available evidence.

V. Historical and Archaeological Inquiries into Kurdish Origins

A. Early Historical Mentions: "Karda," "Carduchi," "Kurd"

The quest to trace Kurdish origins into deep antiquity involves examining various ancient terms that have been proposed as potential early references to Kurds or their ancestors. Sumerian clay tablets from the 3rd millennium BCE mention a land of "Karda" or "Qarti," inhabited by people in the Zagros Mountain region. While the philological connection between these terms and "Kurd" is not definitively established, some scholars consider a relationship plausible.

A more frequently cited potential early reference comes from the Greek historian Xenophon. In his *Anabasis*, an account of the March of the Ten Thousand Greek mercenaries around 401 BCE, Xenophon describes their encounters with the Carduchi (Karduchoi or Καρδοῦχοι). These were portrayed as warlike, independent tribes inhabiting the mountainous regions north of the Tigris River, an area broadly corresponding to parts of modern Kurdistan.⁷ Older scholarship often posited a direct link between the Carduchi and the Kurds. However, this view is now widely disputed by many contemporary scholars.⁷ Some suggest that the Cyrtians (Kyrtioi), another tribal group mentioned by classical authors like Strabo as inhabiting the Zagros, might be more plausible ancestors or contribute to the etymology of "Kurd". The ethnolinguistic identity of the Carduchi themselves remains uncertain; Xenophon describes

their language as non-Armenian, but provides insufficient detail for definitive classification. Garnik Asatryan, for instance, considers the Carduchii to have been an indigenous, pre-Indo-European people. The etymology of "Carduchi" is also a subject of debate, with proposed origins ranging from Urartian to Semitic.

The term "Kurd" (plural *Akrād* in Arabic) begins to appear with greater clarity and frequency in historical records from the early Islamic period, starting in the 7th century CE. In its initial usage, particularly in Middle Persian (Pahlavi) texts from the Sasanian era, "Kurd" (*kwrt*) may have carried a socio-economic connotation, referring to nomadic or pastoralist groups in the western Iranian highlands and Zagros Mountains, rather than denoting a precisely defined ethno-linguistic entity. For example, the 10th-century historian Hamza al-Isfahani noted that Persians referred to the Daylamites as "Kurds of Tabaristan" and to Arabs of the Sawad of Iraq as "Kurds of Suristan," implying a broader application of the term to describe a way of life or regional groups.

However, by the 10th to 12th centuries, historical sources, including those by Arab and Armenian chroniclers, increasingly use "Kurd" as an ethnonym referring to a more distinctly identifiable group of people, albeit one still characterized by tribal divisions. These medieval texts provide accounts of Kurdish tribes, their territories (often referred to as *Bilād al-Akrād*, "the lands of the Kurds"), and their interactions with neighboring peoples and ruling powers. Notably, some medieval Armenian historical sources explicitly equate the Kurds with the Medes, providing a historical precedent for the modern claim from external observers. The etymology and early application of terms like "Karda," "Carduchi," and "Kurd" are evidently complex and subject to ongoing scholarly debate. While ancient terms like "Carduchi" are phonetically suggestive and geographically relevant, establishing direct and unbroken linguistic and ethnic continuity to modern Kurds remains challenging and is often contested. The meaning of "Kurd" itself appears to have evolved over centuries, possibly from a toponymic or lifestyle descriptor to a more concrete ethnonym. This evolutionary complexity complicates any attempt to trace a singular, linear line of descent from one specific ancient group, such as the Medes. If "Kurd" initially designated "nomad," then the early groups referred to as such could have been linguistically and ethnically diverse, with the ethnonym later coalescing around a predominantly Northwestern Iranian-speaking population.

B. Exploring Other Potential Ancestral Groups in Kurdish Ethnogenesis While the Median connection is prominent in Kurdish self-perception, scholarly inquiry into Kurdish ethnogenesis considers a wider array of ancient peoples who inhabited the Zagros Mountains and surrounding regions. The Hurrians, a non-Indo-European speaking people, established a significant civilization that flourished in Kurdistan and adjacent areas for millennia, roughly from 6,300 to 2,600 years ago.⁸ Professor Mehrdad Izady, a notable proponent of Hurrian influence, argues that despite the later linguistic dominance of Indo-European languages (including those that evolved into Kurdish), the Hurrian legacy forms a foundational cultural substratum for the Kurds, evident in aspects of their native religion, art, social organization, and traditional warfare.⁸ Some genetic studies also mention the Hurrians as potential ancient contributors to the Kurdish gene pool.⁹ Other ancient peoples of the Zagros region, such as the Guti (Gutians) and Lullubi, who are known from Mesopotamian cuneiform sources dating back to the 3rd millennium BCE, are also sometimes proposed as early components of Kurdish ancestry.¹⁰ Given the continuous human habitation of the Zagros Mountains for many

thousands of years, it is highly probable that the ancestors of modern Kurds include these autochthonous populations, who were later assimilated or integrated with various incoming groups, including the Indo-Iranian peoples like the Medes.

The Scythians, nomadic Iranian-speaking groups who were active across a vast Eurasian territory, including parts of the Near East, are another group sometimes mentioned in theories of Kurdish origins. One source alludes to a Harvard University DNA study suggesting that Kurds have a high probability of descent from Eastern Scythians, adding another layer to the complex ancestral picture.

Reflecting this complexity, many contemporary scholars favor a model of heterogeneous origins for the Kurds. This perspective posits that Kurdish ethnogenesis was a long and intricate process involving the assimilation, amalgamation, and integration of various ancient tribal and ethnic groups over millennia. These would include indigenous Zagros populations, the Hurrians, and successive waves of Indo-Iranian migrants, among whom were the Medes, Persians, Parthians, and Scythians. This "melting pot" or amalgamation model appears more consistent with the multifaceted historical, linguistic, and archaeological realities of the Near East than a theory of singular, unilinear descent from any one ancient group. The focus on the Medes, while significant for Kurdish identity, might therefore overshadow the contributions of these other important ancestral threads.

Table 3: Prominent Theories on Kurdish Ethnogenesis and Key Proponents

Theory	Key Proponents/Supporters	Primary Evidence Cited	Critiques/Alternative Views
Median Descent (Primary/Direct)	Vladimir Minorsky; Many Kurdish nationalists and cultural narratives	Northwestern Iranian linguistic links; Geographical contiguity; Newroz narrative (Kawa & 612 BCE); Medieval Armenian sources equating Kurds/Medes.	Linguistic counterarguments (MacKenzie, Asatrian); Scarcity of Median data; Historical discontinuity; "Median myth" aspect.
Carduchian (Karduchoi) Link	Older scholarship; Some contemporary mentions.	Xenophon's <i>Anabasis</i> ; Geographical location of Carduchi; Phonetic similarity of names.	Widely disputed by modern scholars ⁷ ; Carduchi possibly non-Iranian or pre-Indo-European.
Cyrtian (Kyrtoi) Link	Some modern scholars (e.g., suggested as more plausible than Carduchi by some who dispute Carduchi-Kurd link)	Accounts by Strabo and Polybius; Geographical location in Zagros; Considered a collection of indigenous non-Iranian tribes by Asatrian.	Nature of Cyrtians as a cohesive ethnic group is debated.
Hurrian/Indigenous	Mehrdad Izady ⁸ ; Some	Deep archaeological	Linguistic shift to

Zagros Substratum	genetic interpretations allude to ancient local populations	continuity in Kurdistan; Pre-Indo-European Hurrian civilization in the area; Postulated cultural survivals.	Indo-Iranian needs to be accounted for; Difficulty in tracing direct cultural continuity over millennia.
Gutian/Lullubian Link	Some older theories; Occasional mentions in broader discussions of Zagros peoples ¹⁰	Ancient peoples of the Zagros mountains; Geographical proximity to Kurdish areas.	Very ancient groups; Lack of direct linguistic or cultural evidence for continuity; Gutti language poorly attested and seemingly unrelated to Iranian.
Scythian Elements	Some theories; Genetic suggestions (e.g., "Harvard DNA study" ref in S28)	Historical Scythian presence in the wider region; Some genetic markers.	Scythians were diverse and mobile; Specific contribution to Kurdish core ethnogenesis needs more substantiation.
Heterogeneous/ Amalgamation Model	Many contemporary scholars (e.g., Martin van Bruinessen, Garnik Asatryan, general trend in ethnogenesis studies)	Complexity of regional history; Multiple migrations and interactions of peoples; Layered linguistic influences; Diverse genetic makeup of Kurds.	This is a broad model rather than a specific lineage; Does not negate Median contribution but places it within a more complex framework.

C. Archaeological Evidence from Kurdistan

The lands historically and currently inhabited by Kurds are rich in archaeological sites that testify to a profound antiquity of human settlement and cultural development. Sites such as Shanidar Cave in Iraqi Kurdistan have yielded remains of both Neanderthals and early anatomically modern humans, with evidence of occupation dating back tens of thousands of years, including burials that suggest complex behaviors. Further evidence of deep historical roots comes from Neolithic sites like Jarmo and Bestansur in the Sulaymaniyah Governorate, which showcase the transition from hunter-gatherer lifestyles to settled agricultural communities around 7,700 to 7,100 BCE. These sites provide crucial insights into the origins of agriculture and village life in the Fertile Crescent, a region encompassing parts of Kurdistan. The Erbil Citadel, located in the capital of the Kurdistan Region of Iraq, is one of the oldest continuously inhabited urban centers in the world, with a history stretching back over 6,000 years.

While these sites confirm the long-term human presence in the geographical area of

Kurdistan, directly linking specific archaeological cultures from deep prehistory to historically attested ethno-linguistic groups like the Medes or, later, the Kurds, is often a complex and interpretative task. Archaeological cultures are typically defined by material remains (pottery styles, toolkits, architectural forms), and these do not always map neatly onto linguistic or ethnic identities as understood in later historical periods.

However, some archaeological findings are more directly discussed in relation to the Medes. For example, rock-cut tombs found in the region, such as Qiz Qapan near Sulaymaniyah, have been attributed by some to Median royalty, with suggestions that it might be the tomb of the Median king Cyaxares. Such attributions, while evocative, can be subject to scholarly debate and require careful corroboration with other forms of evidence. The presence of Median-era sites or artifacts within the broader Kurdish homeland demonstrates that the Medes were indeed active and present in these territories, which is consistent with their historical empire. However, this presence does not, in itself, exclusively prove that modern Kurds are their sole or direct descendants, as other groups also inhabited or traversed these lands. Archaeology, therefore, provides a crucial backdrop of deep regional antiquity and confirms Median presence, but it rarely offers unambiguous answers to specific questions of ethnic lineage from such distant periods without accompanying textual or epigraphic evidence that directly names the people involved.

D. The Politics of the Past: Archaeology and National Narratives

The interpretation of archaeological findings and historical claims in the Middle East, as in many other parts of the world, is not always a purely objective academic exercise. It is frequently intertwined with the processes of nation-building, the construction of national identities, and contemporary political agendas. States and various groups may utilize archaeology and historical narratives to legitimize political power, assert claims to territory, or bolster a particular vision of national identity and historical continuity. For example, early Kemalist Turkey emphasized links to ancient Anatolian civilizations like the Hittites to construct a secular, ethnically-bound Turkish national identity rooted in Anatolia, distinct from the Ottoman past. Similarly, archaeology in Iran has been employed to highlight the historical grandeur and continuity of the Persian state.

The Kurdish claim to Median ancestry should also be understood within this broader context. For a group like the Kurds, who have long sought self-determination and faced denial of their distinct identity in various states, establishing a prestigious historical lineage connected to an ancient and powerful empire like the Medes provides a potent narrative. This narrative can serve to counter arguments that portray Kurds as lacking a history of statehood or as being merely a collection of disparate tribes. The Median connection offers a "charter myth" of past sovereignty and imperial status, which can be mobilized to support modern national aspirations. This does not inherently mean that the claim is fabricated or lacks any historical basis, but it does suggest that the emphasis placed upon it, and the specific interpretations of evidence, can be shaped and amplified by contemporary socio-political needs and identity politics. The desire for historical legitimacy and a distinguished past is a common feature of nationalist movements globally, and the Kurdish engagement with their Median heritage can be seen as part of this wider phenomenon.

VI. Genetic Perspectives: Tracing Ancestral Threads

A. Overview of Genetic Research on Kurdish Populations Genetic research has increasingly contributed to understanding the complex origins and affinities of various human populations, including the Kurds. Studies utilizing Y-chromosome DNA (Y-DNA, tracing paternal lineages), mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA, tracing maternal lineages), and autosomal DNA (providing an overall genomic picture), including Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA) system analyses, have been conducted on diverse Kurdish communities from their core settlement areas in Iraq, Iran, Turkey, and also from diaspora groups in the Caucasus (e.g., Georgia).⁹ These investigations aim to delineate the genetic structure of Kurdish populations, map their relationships with neighboring and distant groups, and shed light on their deeper ancestral origins and demographic history.

B. Key Findings and Connections A consistent theme emerging from these genetic studies is that Kurdish populations generally exhibit genetic profiles indicative of an ancient Near Eastern, Caucasian, and/or Eastern Mediterranean ancestral substratum.⁹ When compared with other global populations, Kurds often cluster genetically with other peoples from these regions, including Iranians, Armenians, Anatolian Turks (who themselves have a significant pre-Turkic Anatolian genetic layer), and Levantine populations.⁹ This suggests deep, shared ancestral roots within the broader West Asian genetic landscape.

Some analyses specifically highlight a strong genetic link to the indigenous populations of the Zagros Mountains. While direct ancient DNA from individuals definitively identified as "Medes" is lacking for comparison, studies of Neolithic farmer remains from the Zagros region (predating the Medes as a distinct political entity) have shown genetic similarities to some modern populations of the Iranian plateau and surrounding areas. One informal source mentions that Kurds possess a rich "Zagrosian Pastoralist" admixture. These findings support the idea of long-term genetic continuity in the Zagros region, a core part of the Kurdish homeland.

Y-DNA haplogroup studies reveal a diverse paternal ancestry among Kurds. Commonly found haplogroups include J (particularly subclades J1 and J2, prevalent in the Near East and Caucasus), R (including R1a and R1b, with R1a common in Eastern Europe and Central/South Asia, and R1b common in Western Europe but also present in West Asia), G (often associated with the Caucasus and Near East), and E (specifically E1b1b, common in North Africa, the Near East, and parts of Europe). For instance, a study on Northern Iraqi Kurds highlighted J2a1b, J1, R1a, and E1b1b as prominent lineages.¹¹ This diversity of major haplogroups suggests contributions from multiple ancestral source populations over millennia. Overall, Kurdish populations display considerable genetic diversity, which is characteristic of populations that have experienced a complex history of migrations, interactions, and admixture with various groups over extended periods. These genetic findings are often interpreted as being consistent with a long and continuous presence of ancestral Kurdish populations within the Kurdistan region, reflecting millennia of demographic processes.⁹

C. The Challenge of Ancient Median DNA

A significant limitation in directly testing the Median descent theory through genetics is the current absence of authenticated ancient DNA (aDNA) samples that can be unequivocally identified as belonging to individuals from the Median ethno-linguistic group during the period of their empire. Without access to Median genomes, any genetic comparisons related to Median ancestry must rely on inferences drawn from the genetics of other ancient populations in the wider region (such as Neolithic Zagros farmers or Bronze Age inhabitants)

and modern populations, combined with historical and linguistic considerations.

Archaeological sites within the historical Median territory and the broader Zagros region have yielded ancient human remains from various periods, but these are not specifically labeled or confirmed as "Median" in an ethnic or linguistic sense.

D. Interpretations and Caveats

While modern genetic studies can reveal broad ancestral connections to geographic regions, ancient population movements, and relationships between contemporary groups, they cannot definitively prove or disprove direct descent from a specific, historically defined ethno-linguistic group like the Medes in the absence of direct comparative ancient DNA from that group. Genetic similarity between Kurds and other Iranian-speaking populations, or with Caucasian and Anatolian groups, is expected given their linguistic affiliations and geographical proximity. Such similarities point to shared ancestry within the wider West Asian gene pool but do not exclusively pinpoint the Medes as the sole or primary ancestors of the Kurds.

The genetic evidence available to date strongly supports the idea of deep ancestral roots for the Kurdish people within the Near East and the Zagros Mountain region, consistent with long-term inhabitation and a complex demographic history involving interactions with numerous ancient peoples. However, this evidence is currently insufficient to specifically and exclusively validate the claim of direct and singular descent from the Medes. The genetic picture is more indicative of a rich, composite heritage rather than a simple, unilinear line of descent from one particular ancient empire. The genetic data does not refute a Median *contribution* to the Kurdish gene pool—indeed, as a significant ancient Iranian population in the region, such a contribution is plausible—but it does not currently allow for the quantification or exclusive confirmation of this specific ancestral link over others. The often-cited Harvard DNA study suggesting a link to Eastern Scythians further illustrates the potential for multiple significant ancestral inputs into the Kurdish gene pool, underscoring the complexity of their ethnogenesis.

VII. The Scholarly Debate: Arguments For and Against Median Descent

The assertion that Kurds are descendants of the ancient Medes is a prominent element of Kurdish identity, but it is also a subject of considerable academic discussion, with various lines of evidence and interpretation being brought to bear.

A. Arguments Supporting the Connection Several key arguments are frequently advanced in support of a Median-Kurdish ancestral link. The primary among these is **linguistic affinity**: both the ancient Median language and the modern Kurdish languages (Kurmanji, Sorani, etc.) are classified as belonging to the Northwestern branch of the Iranian language family.⁴ This shared linguistic classification suggests a common origin or a particularly close historical relationship, distinct from Southwestern Iranian languages like Persian.

Geographical contiguity is another significant factor. The historical heartland of the Median kingdom and later empire, particularly in the Zagros Mountains and western Iran, shows considerable overlap with the territories traditionally inhabited by Kurds. Proponents argue that this long-term presence in the same geographical space supports the notion of continuity.

Powerful **cultural narratives** also bolster the claim. The celebration of Newroz, specifically

the Kurdish version linking the Kawa the Blacksmith legend to the Median conquest of Nineveh in 612 BCE, serves as a potent annual reaffirmation of this connection.⁶ Furthermore, the explicit mention of Median heritage in the Kurdish national anthem, "Ey Reqîb," institutionalizes this belief.⁵

Certain **historical interpretations** lend support as well. Scholars like Vladimir Minorsky were influential in arguing for a Median linguistic and ethnic basis for the Kurds, believing that the underlying unity of Kurdish dialects pointed to such an origin. Additionally, some medieval historical sources, particularly from Armenian chroniclers, are reported to equate Kurds with Medes. The Sasanian-era Pahlavi text, the *Kārnamag ī Ardaxšīr ī Pābagān*, contains a passage that has been interpreted as referring to a Kurdish king named "Madig," which literally means "Median," suggesting an ancient perceived link. Finally, the strong and persistent **self-identification** of many Kurds as descendants of the Medes is itself a significant social and cultural fact that contributes to the narrative's endurance.

B. Critiques and Alternative Theories Despite these arguments, the theory of direct and exclusive Median descent for the Kurds faces significant scholarly critiques. **Linguistic counterarguments** are prominent, advanced by Iranists such as David N. MacKenzie and Garnik Asatryan. MacKenzie pointed out that Kurdish languages also share numerous features with Southwestern Iranian languages, notably Persian, likely due to centuries of intense contact. He argued that there are important differences between the reconstructed features of Median and those found in Kurdish proper, and that the evidence for direct descent was insufficient given the limited nature of Median linguistic data. Asatryan has similarly suggested that Median's relationship with Kurdish is not uniquely close when compared to other Northwestern Iranian languages (like Baluchi or Talishi) and that the direct linguistic offshoots of Median are more likely to be found in other dialect groups within Iran. He also emphasized that the term "Kurd" (from Middle Persian *kwrt*) originally meant "nomad" or "tent-dweller" and was not initially an ethnonym for a specific linguistic group. The fundamental challenge remains the **scarcity of Median linguistic material**, with linguists noting that "only a few authentic Median words were documented; therefore, too few for any sweeping assumption" of a concrete linguistic connection.⁴

Critics also point to a perceived **historical discontinuity**. There is a considerable chronological gap between the fall of the Median Empire in 549 BCE and the clearer emergence of "Kurds" as a distinct ethno-linguistic group in historical records many centuries later. The lack of clearly identifiable "intermediate people" or continuous historical attestations bridging this gap is seen as a weakness in the direct descent theory.

Some scholars interpret the strong emphasis on Median ancestry as a feature of **modern Kurdish nationalism**, a common phenomenon where groups seek a prestigious imperial past to bolster contemporary identity and aspirations. The argument based solely on shared geography (Kurds living on former Median lands) is often deemed insufficient, as numerous migrations and population shifts have occurred throughout history in the region.

Furthermore, the **geographical specificity of the Medes** is sometimes raised. It is argued that the ethnic Medes primarily inhabited a more circumscribed area (Media proper, centered around Ecbatana), and while the broader region now known as Kurdistan was part of the Median Empire, it was not necessarily populated predominantly by *ethnic* Medes. The internal **complexity of "Kurdish"** itself, as a group of related languages or dialects rather than a monolithic entity, is also used to question a singular origin from Median.

These critiques often lead to the proposal of **alternative or supplementary ancestries**, suggesting that Kurdish ethnogenesis was a more complex process involving contributions from various ancient peoples of the Zagros, including Hurrians, Gutians, Carduchians, and Cyrtians, in addition to any Median element (as detailed in Section V.B).

C. Synthesizing the Evidence: Towards a Nuanced Understanding

When synthesizing the available evidence, a nuanced understanding of the Kurdish-Median connection appears most plausible. The Medes, as a significant Northwestern Iranian-speaking people who established a major empire controlling much of the region that later became Kurdistan, very likely contributed to the linguistic, genetic, and cultural makeup of the populations that eventually coalesced into the Kurdish people. The shared Northwestern Iranian linguistic heritage and the geographical overlap are strong indicators of some form of historical relationship.

However, the claim of a direct, exclusive, and unbroken line of descent from the Medes to modern Kurds is difficult to substantiate definitively with the current state of historical and linguistic evidence. Such a claim is contested by many scholars who point to the fragmentary nature of Median sources, the chronological gaps, and the evidence for a more complex, heterogeneous ethnogenesis for the Kurds. The relationship might be more accurately characterized as collateral (akin to cousins within the broader Northwestern Iranian linguistic family) or perhaps involving a Median linguistic or cultural substratum that influenced later populations, rather than a straightforward parent-to-child lineage.

The debate over Median ancestry is not merely a dry academic exercise; it is deeply intertwined with Kurdish identity, historical consciousness, and national aspirations. The most compelling arguments for a connection rest on the linguistic classification and geographical factors. The strongest critiques focus on the lack of continuous, unambiguous evidence linking the Medes directly and exclusively to the later-attested Kurds, and the broader complexities inherent in tracing ethnic origins over millennia. Ultimately, the "Median question" highlights the challenges of reconstructing ancient ethnic histories from limited data, especially when such histories become imbued with profound contemporary meaning.

VIII. Conclusion: The Meaning of Median Ancestry for the Kurds

A. Recapitulation of Primary Reasons for the Claim

The assertion by many Kurds that they are descendants of the ancient Medes is founded upon several interconnected pillars. A primary factor is the shared linguistic heritage, with both Median and Kurdish languages belonging to the Northwestern Iranian branch, suggesting a common ancestral root or close historical interaction. Geographical considerations also play a crucial role, as the historical territories of the Median kingdom and empire significantly overlap with the regions that constitute the traditional Kurdish homeland in the Zagros Mountains and adjacent areas. This territorial continuity is often interpreted as indicative of population continuity. Furthermore, powerful cultural narratives, most notably the Newroz celebration centered on the Kawa the Blacksmith legend, are symbolically linked to the Median conquest of Nineveh in 612 BCE, transforming a historical claim into a living tradition. This connection is further solidified by its explicit articulation in core symbols of Kurdish national identity, such as the national anthem.

B. Summary of Supporting and Conflicting Evidence

The evidence supporting a Median-Kurdish connection, while compelling in certain aspects, is not without its complexities and scholarly challenges. Linguistic and geographical factors provide a plausible basis for a historical relationship. However, the direct evidence, particularly for the Median language, remains fragmentary, making definitive comparative analysis difficult. Scholarly critiques often highlight the chronological gap between the Median Empire and the later emergence of a clearly defined Kurdish ethno-linguistic group, the initial socio-economic (rather than purely ethnic) connotation of the term "Kurd" in some early sources, and the likelihood of a more complex, multi-layered ethnogenesis for the Kurdish people. While the Medes were undoubtedly a significant ancient Iranian presence in the region, current scholarship tends to view them as one among potentially several ancestral groups contributing to the formation of the Kurdish people, rather than their sole and direct progenitors.

C. The Enduring Cultural and National Significance

Regardless of the precise historical, linguistic, and genetic details that continue to be debated by scholars, the narrative of Median ancestry holds profound and undeniable cultural and national importance for a great many Kurds. This belief system provides a deep sense of historical continuity, connecting modern Kurdish identity to an ancient and prestigious imperial legacy. In the context of a long history marked by statelessness and struggles for recognition and self-determination, the Median heritage offers a powerful symbol of past sovereignty, resilience, and inherent dignity.

The story of Median descent functions as a vital historical charter, shaping how many Kurds understand their collective past and envision their future. It is a narrative that instills pride, fosters unity, and provides a historical anchor in a frequently turbulent present. Therefore, the "truth" of the Median descent claim, in a socio-cultural and political sense, may lie less in its absolute, empirically verifiable historical accuracy (according to the strictest academic criteria for unilinear descent) and more in its profound *function* in shaping, sustaining, and energizing Kurdish identity and aspirations. It is a testament to the power of historical memory and narrative in the life of a people.

IX. Works Cited

1. ¹² NLKA. (n.d.).
Kurdish Mother Goddess Ana: Origins and Traditions. nlka.net.
2. ¹³ Various Authors. (n.d.).
Were the Medes the ancestors of the Kurds? Quora.
3. ¹⁴ Wikipedia. (n.d.).
Kurdish language.
4. ⁵ Vyas, G. A. (n.d.).
Kurds, Lurs and Aryan Brahmins. Rootshunt.com.
5. ¹⁵ Hawar News Agency. (n.d.).
Newroz: National Identity Under Political Repression.
6. ⁶ Rasul, I. A. (2020). Newroz from Kurdish and Persian Perspectives – A Comparative Study.
European Journal of Ecumenical Studies, 2(1).

7. ¹⁶ Fiveable. (n.d.).
Median Empire. Fiveable Library.
8. ¹⁷ History Timelines. (n.d.).
Median Empire.
9. ¹⁸ PersianEmpire.org. (n.d.).
Medes.
10. ⁴ Schmitt, R. (2021, December 16). *Median Language*. In *Encyclopaedia Iranica Online*. Brill. ⁴
11. ¹⁹ PersianEmpires.com. (n.d.).
Persian Empire Geography.
12. ²⁰ Ducksters. (n.d.).
History: Persian Empire.
13. ² The-Persians.co.uk. (n.d.). *Medes*. ²
14. ²¹ Bible Hub. (n.d.).
Topical Bible: Medes and Persians.
15. ²² History Files. (n.d.).
Eastern Media (Medes).
16. ¹ Jewish Virtual Library. (n.d.). *Medes and Media*. ¹
17. ³ Diakonoff, I. M. (2008). Media. In I. Gershevitch (Ed.), *The Cambridge History of Iran* (Vol. 2). Cambridge University Press. ³
18. ²³ Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Median language*. ²³
19. ⁴ Schmitt, R. (2021, December 16). *Median Language*. In *Encyclopaedia Iranica Online*. Brill. ⁴
20. ²⁴ The Kurdish Project. (n.d.).
Kurdish Language.
21. ¹⁴ Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Kurdish language*. ¹⁴
22. ²⁵ Oxford Research Encyclopedias, Classics. (n.d.).
Median loanwords in Old Persian. (Specific reference to a larger article likely on Old Persian).
23. ²⁶ Wikipedia. (n.d.).
Old Persian.
24. ²⁷ Hewalname. (n.d.).
The Origins of the Kurds and Their Language. (PDF source)
25. ²⁸ Unknown Author. (2014).
JoLaCE 2014-3-007. (PDF, likely journal article on Kurdish linguistics).
26. ²⁹ PersianHistory.Quora.com. (n.d.).
Is there a connection between modern-day Kurds and the Medes as mentioned by Herodotus?
27. ³⁰ Various Authors. (n.d.).
Is there a connection between modern-day Kurds and the Medes as mentioned by

- Herodotus?* Quora.
28. ⁷ Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Carduchii*. ⁷
 29. ³¹ Wikipedia. (n.d.).
Gordyene.
 30. ³² James, B. (2018). Arab ethnonyms ('Ajam, 'Arab, Badu, and Turk): The Kurdish case as a paradigm for thinking about differences in the Middle Ages.
Iranian Studies, 51(5), 681-711.
 31. ³³ Medievalists.net. (2009, April).
The tribal territory of the Kurds through Arabic medieval historiography.
 32. ³⁴ Biçer, B. (n.d.).
Relations of Kurds with Armenians (951-1150). ResearchGate.
 33. ³⁵ Wikipedia. (n.d.).
Armenian–Kurdish relations.
 34. ³⁶ Arnaiz-Villena, A., et al. (2017). Genetic HLA Study of Kurds in Iraq, Iran and Tbilisi (Caucasus, Georgia). *PLoS ONE*, 12(1), e0169929. ⁹
 35. ³⁷ Bionity. (n.d.).
Origins of the Kurds.
 36. ³⁸ Various Authors. (n.d.).
Who are the descendants of the Zagros mountain people? Quora.
 37. ³⁹ Radley, D. (2024, September 9). Archaeologists identify Zagros Mountains as likely place for Neanderthal and Homo Sapiens interbreeding.
Archaeology Magazine.
 38. ⁴⁰ Bionity. (n.d.). *Origins of the Kurds*. ³⁷
 39. ⁸ Kurds of Illinois. (n.d.). *Origin of the Kurds*. (Likely referencing Prof. Mehrdad Izady). ⁸
 40. ⁴¹ Kurdistan Chronicle. (n.d.).
Archaeological sites in Kurdistan.
 41. ⁴² University of Huddersfield. (n.d.).
Major Kurdistan project to probe the past of an ancient people. News Archive.
 42. ¹¹ Dogan, S., et al. (2017). A glimpse at the intricate mosaic of ethnicities from Mesopotamia: Paternal lineages of the Northern Iraqi Arabs, Kurds, Syriacs, Turkmens and Yazidis. *PLoS ONE*, 12(11), e0187408. ¹¹
 43. ⁴³ Busby, A. (n.d.).
Culture Summary: Kurds. eHRAF World Cultures, Yale University.
 44. ⁴⁴ Wikipedia. (n.d.).
History of the Kurds.
 45. ¹⁰ Olson, R. (n.d.). Observations on Kurdish Origins. *ResearchGate*. ¹⁰
 46. ⁴⁵ MacDonald, C. G. (1991). The Kurdish Question in the 1980s.
Journal of Palestine Studies, 19(1), 9-28. (PDF source).
 47. ⁴⁶ Unknown Author. (n.d.).
Theories on the Origin of the Kurds. Inlibrary.uz. (PDF, discusses Marr and Minorsky).

48. ⁴⁷ Wikipedia. (n.d.).
Denial of Kurds by Turkey.
49. ⁴⁸ Various Authors. (n.d.).
Why are the Kurdish arguments regarding ancestry flawed? Quora.
50. ⁴⁹ Various Authors. (n.d.).
What is the reason behind Kurds claiming descent from the Medes? Quora.
51. ⁵⁰ Various Authors. (n.d.).
Is there actual proof of us being the descendants of Medes? Reddit r/kurdistan.
52. ⁵¹ van Bruinessen, M. (1994). *Kurdish nationalism and competing ethnic loyalties*. Utrecht University Repository..⁵¹
53. ⁵² Sherwani, K. A., & Muhammed, B. A. (2020). Kurdish national Identity in a global context.
Technium Social Sciences Journal, 2(7), 310-316.
54. ⁵³ TORCH The Oxford Research Centre in the Humanities. (n.d.).
Making sense of Kurdish identity during the Middle Ages.
55. ⁵⁴ Nations and Nationalism. (2025).
Political use of archaeology in Turkey. (Reference to a journal article).
56. ⁵⁵ Mortazavi, M., Negari, F. M., & Nasab, G. H. (n.d.).
Political Archaeology of the Middle East. (Book review/summary in PDF).
57. ⁵⁶ Wikipedia. (n.d.).
Cyrtians.
58. ⁵⁷ Harland, P. (2024, May 20).
Medes: Nearchos and Strabo on neighbouring bandit-peoples and on Median customs (early first century CE). Ethnic Relations and Migration in the Ancient World.
59. ⁵⁸ Unknown Author. (n.d.).
The Genetic Diversity And Ancestry of A Small Sample from Greater Kurdistan. ResearchGate.
60. ⁵⁹ Wikipedia Nucleos. (n.d.).
Origin of the Kurds. (Likely a mirror or summary of Wikipedia's "Origins of the Kurds").
61. ⁶⁰ Aprim, F. (2025, May 27).
Yezidis Are Not Kurds: They Are Two Distinct Peoples. (PDF source).
62. ⁵ Vyas, G. A. (n.d.). *Kurds, Lurs and Aryan Brahmins.* Rootshunt.com. ⁵
63. ⁶ Rasul, I. A. (2020). Newroz from Kurdish and Persian Perspectives – A Comparative Study. *European Journal of Ecumenical Studies*, 2(1). ⁶
64. ³ Diakonoff, I. M. (2008). Media. In I. Gershevitch (Ed.), *The Cambridge History of Iran* (Vol. 2). Cambridge University Press. ³

Works cited

1. Medes and Media – Jewish Virtual Library, accessed June 9, 2025,
<https://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/medes-and-media>

2. The Medes, conflict with Assyria Urartu and Persia - iran & the iranians, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://www.the-persians.co.uk/medes.htm>
3. MEDIA (Chapter 3) - The Cambridge History of Iran, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-history-of-iran/media/F16A21F8BB5DF672C3D6DBF48806098A>
4. MEDIAN LANGUAGE - Brill Reference Works, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://referenceworks.brill.com/display/entries/EIRO/COM-362418.xml>
5. KURDS, LURS AND ARYAN BRAHMINS - ROOTSHUNT, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://rootshunt.com/aryans/indiairanandaryans/kurdslursandaryanbrahmins.pdf>
6. Newroz from Kurdish and Persian Perspectives – A Comparative ..., accessed June 9, 2025, <https://www.ejecs.org/index.php/JECS/article/download/318/pdf/1618>
7. Carduchii - Wikipedia, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carduchii>
8. Origin of The Kurds - Kurdish Cultural Center of Illinois, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://www.kurds ofillinois.org/origin-of-the-kurds/>
9. Genetic HLA Study of Kurds in Iraq, Iran and Tbilisi (Caucasus ..., accessed June 9, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5256937/>
10. (PDF) Observations on Kurdish Origins - ResearchGate, accessed June 9, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280004415_Observations_on_Kurdish_Origins
11. A glimpse at the intricate mosaic of ethnicities from Mesopotamia ..., accessed June 9, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5669434/>
12. Kurdish Mother Goddess Ana: Origins & Traditions - The Kurdish ..., accessed June 9, 2025, <https://nlka.net/eng/kurdish-mother-goddess-ana-origins-traditions/>
13. Were the Medes the ancestors of the Kurds? - Quora, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://www.quora.com/Were-the-Medes-the-ancestors-of-the-Kurds>
14. Kurdish language - Wikipedia, accessed June 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurdish_language
15. Newroz: National identity under political repression - ANHA, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://hawarnews.com/en/newroz-national-identity-under-political-repression>
16. Median Empire - (World History – Before 1500) - Vocab, Definition, Explanations | Fiveable, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://library.fiveable.me/key-terms/world-history-to-1500/median-empire>
17. Median Empire - History Timelines, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://historytimelines.co/timeline/median-empire>
18. Medes | Cultures - Persian Empire, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://persianempire.org/cultures/medes>
19. Persian Empire Geography, Achaemenid and Cyrus Persian Empire - Persian Empires.com, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://persianempires.com/persian-empire-geography.html>
20. Ancient Mesopotamia: Persian Empire - Ducksters, accessed June 9, 2025, https://www.ducksters.com/history/mesopotamia/persian_empire.php

21. Topical Bible: Medes and Persians, accessed June 9, 2025, https://biblehub.com/topical/m/medes_and_persians.htm
22. Kingdoms of the Eastern Near East - Media - The History Files, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://www.historyfiles.co.uk/KingListsMiddEast/EasternMedia.htm>
23. Median language - Wikipedia, accessed June 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Median_language
24. Learn About Kurdish Language, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://thekurdishproject.org/history-and-culture/kurdish-culture/kurdish-language/>
25. Old Persian language | Oxford Classical Dictionary, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://oxfordre.com/classics/display/10.1093/acrefore/9780199381135.001.0001/acrefore-9780199381135-e-8210>
26. Old Persian - Wikipedia, accessed June 9, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Old_Persian
27. Untitled, accessed June 9, 2025, <http://www.hewalname.com/ku/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/The-Origins-of-the-Kurds-and-Therir-Language.pdf>
28. A Comparative Study of Phonological System of Kurdish Varieties, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://7df6ec12f8.clvaw-cdnwnd.com/f1163102c0d0e0a3aae255c61d630629/20000200-ccdcfcdda1/JoLaCE%202014-3-007.pdf>
29. persianhistory.quora.com, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://persianhistory.quora.com/https-www-quora-com-ls-there-a-connection-between-modern-day-Kurds-and-the-Medes-as-mentioned-by-Herodotus-Did-he-also#:~:text=Herodotus%20had%20no%20knowledge%20of.that%20were%20inhabited%20by%20Sc%E2%80%A6>
30. Is there a connection between modern-day Kurds and the Medes, as mentioned by Herodotus? Did he also mention the presence of Celts among the Kurds? - Quora, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://www.quora.com/Is-there-a-connection-between-modern-day-Kurds-and-the-Medes-as-mentioned-by-Herodotus-Did-he-also-mention-the-presence-of-Celts-among-the-Kurds>
31. Gordyene - Wikipedia, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gordyene>
32. Arab Ethnonyms ('Ajam, 'Arab, Badū and Turk): The Kurdish Case as a Paradigm for Thinking about Differences in the Middle Ages | Iranian Studies - Cambridge University Press & Assessment, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/iranian-studies/article/arab-ethnonyms-ajam-arab-badu-and-turk-the-kurdish-case-as-a-paradigm-for-thinking-about-differences-in-the-middle-ages/EA937E62CA5BCAD48E2DF242D1FCE98D>
33. The tribal territory of the Kurds through Arabic medieval historiography - Medievalists.net, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://www.medievalists.net/2009/04/the-tribal-territory-of-the-kurds-through-arabic-medieval-historiography/>
34. (PDF) Relations of Kurds with Armenians (951-1150) - ResearchGate, accessed

June 9, 2025,

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384114253_RELATIONS_OF_KURDS_WITH_ARMENIANS_951-1150

35. Armenian–Kurdish relations - Wikipedia, accessed June 9, 2025,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Armenian%E2%80%93Kurdish_relations
36. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, accessed June 9, 2025,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5256937/#:~:text=Most%20probably%2C%20Kurd%20people%20gene.ancestry%2C%20language%20and%20cultural%20uses.>
37. Origins of the Kurds - Bionity, accessed June 9, 2025,
https://www.bionity.com/en/encyclopedia/Origins_of_the_Kurds.html
38. Who are the descendants of the Zagros mountain people? - Quora, accessed June 9, 2025,
<https://www.quora.com/Who-are-the-descendants-of-the-Zagros-mountain-people>
39. Archaeologists identify Zagros Mountains as likely place for Neanderthal and Homo Sapiens interbreeding | Archaeology News Online Magazine, accessed June 9, 2025,
<https://archaeologymag.com/2024/09/likely-place-for-neanderthal-and-homo-sapiens-interbreeding/>
40. www.bionity.com, accessed June 9, 2025,
https://www.bionity.com/en/encyclopedia/Origins_of_the_Kurds.html#:~:text=According%20to%20a%20recent%20genetic,European%20genetic%20links%20as%20well.
41. Unveiling the Ancient Civilizations of the Kurdistan Region, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://kurdistanchronicle.com/b/2911>
42. The DNA of Kurdistan - News - University of Huddersfield, accessed June 9, 2025,
<https://news-archive.hud.ac.uk/news/allstories/thednaofkurdistan.php>
43. Kurds - Summary - eHRAF World Cultures, accessed June 9, 2025,
<https://ehrafworldcultures.yale.edu/cultures/MA11/summary>
44. History of the Kurds - Wikipedia, accessed June 9, 2025,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_the_Kurds
45. The Kurds - CCU Digital Commons, accessed June 9, 2025,
https://digitalcommons.coastal.edu/context/jops/article/1191/viewcontent/jops_v19_1991_09_macdonald.pdf
46. THE ORIGIN OF THE KURDS - inLIBRARY, accessed June 9, 2025,
<https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/tajpslc/article/download/32275/32938>
47. Denial of Kurds by Turkey - Wikipedia, accessed June 9, 2025,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Denial_of_Kurds_by_Turkey
48. Why are the Kurdish arguments regarding ancestry flawed? - Quora, accessed June 9, 2025,
<https://www.quora.com/Why-are-the-Kurdish-arguments-regarding-ancestry-flawed>
49. What is the reason behind Kurds claiming descent from the Medes, an Indo-European tribe from Iran? - Quora, accessed June 9, 2025,

- <https://www.quora.com/What-is-the-reason-behind-Kurds-claiming-descent-from-the-Medes-an-Indo-European-tribe-from-Iran>
50. Is there actual proof of us being the descendants of The Medes? : r/kurdistan - Reddit, accessed June 9, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/kurdistan/comments/1b80odr/is_there_actual_proof_of_us_being_the_descendants/
 51. New Page 1 - DSpace Home, accessed June 9, 2025, https://dspace.library.uu.nl/bitstream/handle/1874/20671/bruinessen_94_kurdishnationalismendcompeting.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
 52. Kurdish national Identity in a global context, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://www.techniumscience.com.techniumscience.pluscommunication.eu/index.php/technium/article/view/2194/803>
 53. Making Sense of Kurdish Identity During the Middle Ages | TORCH, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://www.torch.ox.ac.uk/making-sense-of-kurdish-identity-during-the-middle-ages>
 54. Full article: Islamists meet archaeology: the Justice and Development Party's nascent politics of archaeology in Turkey, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/14683849.2025.2463990>
 55. Comprehensive Review of the Book “Political Archaeology of the Middle East”, accessed June 9, 2025, https://ijas.usb.ac.ir/article_9024_285fab3a6e3567d743d72739352b9e77.pdf
 56. Cyrtians - Wikipedia, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cyrtians>
 57. Medes: Nearchos and Strabo on neighbouring bandit-peoples and on Median customs (early first century CE) | Ethnic Relations and Migration in the Ancient World: The Websites of Philip A. Harland, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://www.philipharland.com/Blog/2024/05/medes-nearchos-and-strabo-on-neighbouring-bandit-peoples-and-on-median-customs-early-first-century-ce/>
 58. (PDF) The Genetic Diversity And Ancestry of A Small Sample from Greater Kurdistan: Insights from Dna Sequencing Results - ResearchGate, accessed June 9, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/376970905_The_Genetic_Diversity_And_Ancestry_of_A_Small_Sample_from_Greater_Kurdistan_Insights_from_Dna_Sequencing_Results
 59. Origin of the Kurds, accessed June 9, 2025, https://wikipedia.nucleos.com/viewer/wikipedia_en_all/A/Origin_of_the_Kurds
 60. Yezidis Are Not Kurds: They Are Two Distinct Peoples - Fred Aprim, accessed June 9, 2025, <https://www.fredaprim.com/pdfs/2025/Yezidis%20are%20not%20Kurds%20Revised.pdf>